

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,158	09/888,158 06/25/2001		William A. White III	SAA-57	8179
23569	7590	01/05/2005		EXAMINER	
SQUARE I			HUYNH, KIM T		
1415 SOUT		OPERTY DEPARTN LE ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PALATINE	IL 600	67	2112		

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary			Applicati n No. Applicant(s)							
			,158	WHITE ET AL.						
			ı r	Art Unit	·					
		Kim T.	•	2112						
Th Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communically	tion app ars on	the c v rsh et i	with the correspond nce a	ddr ss					
THE MAILIN - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If the period for 16 NO period for Failure to repl Any reply received.	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION time may be available under the provisions of 3 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communior reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dor reply is specified above, the maximum statute y within the set or extended period for reply will, sived by the Office later than three months after term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. FOR 1.136(a). In no cation. ays, a reply within the sory period will apply and, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a statutory minimum of th d will expire SIX (6) MC application to become a	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.					
Status										
1)⊠ Respo	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 October 2004</u> .									
2a)☐ This a	action is FINAL. 2b)		s non-final.							
3) Since	this application is in condition for	allowance exce	pt for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to th	e merits is					
closed	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of	Claims									
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claim 6) ☑ Claim 7) ☐ Claim	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Pa	pers									
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 June 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 										
Priority under	35 II S C & 140									
12) Ackno a) All 1. 2. 3.	wledgment is made of a claim for b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority do Certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International attached detailed Office action for	cuments have b cuments have b the priority docul I Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in ments have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No	l Stage					
Attachment(s)										
1) Notice of Ref 2) Notice of Dra	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Mail Date		Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date I Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/888,158 Page 2

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 10-21, 29-32, 35-36, 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jammes (Pub No US20020194365) in view of Swales et al. (US Patent 6,466,995)

As per claims 1, 35, Jammes discloses system comprising:

- a Modbus device having an embedded automation application, the
 Modbus device being operably connected to a communication bus; [0023-0024], [0006]
- a fieldbus coupler operably connected to the automation application via the communication bus; [0024], [0006]
- a network being operably connected to the fieldbus coupler, the network including a network node having a table for holding data and parameters transmitted or received throughout the system; and, [0056]

Jammes discloses all the limitations as above except a protocol utilized by the automation application to access the network node.

However, Swales discloses a communications adapter for interfacing

between MODBUS over Ethernet to TCP for the communication of information between field device and a field master using these types of protocols. Field masters include programmable logic controllers application specific controllers with automation software to run thereon. (col.1,lines 65-col.2, line 13)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Swales's teaching into Jammes's system so as to have the advantages of connecting a simple devices into a complexed system. (col.3,lines 20-35)

As per claimd 2, 29, Jammes discloses wherein the protocol comprises:

- a Modbus message frame comprising: [0047]
- a header having an address identifier; [0039]
- a trailer having an error verifier; and, [0036]

Jammes discloses all the limitations as above except a Modbus function code encapsulated between the header and the trailer, wherein the automation application transmits a network message embedded within the Modbus function code to the network node table. However, Swales discloses a communications adapter for interfacing between MODBUS over Ethernet to TCP for the communication of information between field device and a field master using these types of protocols. Field masters include programmable logic controllers application specific controllers with automation software to run thereon. (col.1,lines 65-col.2, line 13)

Art Unit: 2112

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Swales's teaching into Jammes's system so as to have the advantages of connecting a simple devices into a complexed system. (col.3,lines 20-35)

As per claims 3,17, 30, Jammes discloses wherein the Modbus function code comprises a Modbus sub-function code. [0024-26]

As per claims 4, 18, Jammes discloses wherein the Modbus function code comprises: a read/write function code having a read/write bit, the read/write function code further being operably responsive to the read/write bit wherein the read/write function code reads or writes the network node table. [0024], [0048-0049]

As per claims 5. 19, 31, Jammes discloses wherein the read/write function code comprises: an index and a sub-index defining a location within the network node table; and, a starting address, the starting address is an offset into the network node being referenced by the index and the sub-index. [0049-0051]

As per claims 6, 20, 32, Jammes discloses wherein the read/write function code comprises: a byte amount defining an amount of bytes, the starting address and the byte amount defining a portion within the network node table to be read or written by the read/write function code wherein the automation application can directly access the portion of the network node table. [0047], [0057]

Page 5

As per claims 7, 21, Jammes discloses wherein the Modbus function code comprises a plurality of Modbus function codes encapsulated within the Modbus message frame.[0024]

As per claim 10, Jammes discloses an automation control system comprising:

- a fieldbus coupler operably connected to a Modbus communication bus; [0024], [0006]
- a Modbus device having an automation application, the Modbus device being operably connected to the fieldbus coupler via the Modbus communication bus; [0023-0024], [0006]
- a Modbus protocol for communicating between the Modbus device and the fieldbus coupler; a network communication bus being operably connected to the field bus coupler; [0024], [0006]
- a network device being operably connected to the fieldbus coupler via the network communication bus; a network protocol for communication between the network device and the fieldbus coupler; [0024], [0056]

Jammes discloses all the limitations as above except the Modbus device and the network device being in communication with each other wherein the fieldbus coupler facilitates communication between the Modbus device and the network device by converting to and from the Modbus protocol and the network protocol. However, Swales discloses a communications adapter for interfacing between MODBUS over Ethernet to TCP for the communication of information between field device and a

Application/Control Number: 09/888,158

Art Unit: 2112

field master using these types of protocols. Field masters include programmable logic controllers application specific controllers with automation software to run thereon. (col.1,lines 65-col.2, line 13)

Page 6

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Swales's teaching into Jammes's system so as to have the advantages of connecting a simple devices into a complexed system. (col.3,lines 20-35)

As per claim 11, Jammes discloses wherein the fieldbus coupler comprises:

- a fieldbus physical layer transceiver being operably connected to the
 Modbus communication bus; [0024], [0006]
- a Modbus to network bridge being operably connected to a network driver and the physical layer transceiver; [0023-0024], [0006]
- a fieldbus driver being operably connected to the network driver; [0056]
- a fieldbus network table being operably connected to the network driver;
 and, [0056]
- a fieldbus network transceiver being operably connected to the network driver and the network communication bus. [0024], [0006]

As per claim 12, Jammes discloses wherein the Modbus device comprises:

- a Modbus physical layer transceiver being operably connected to the
 Modbus communication bus; [0023-0024], [0006]
- a Modbus driver being operably connected to the Modbus physical layer transceiver; and, [0056]

Art Unit: 2112

 an automation application being operably connected to the Modbus driver. [0024], [0056]

As per claim 13, Jammes discloses wherein the network device comprises:

- a network transceiver being operably connected to the network communication bus; [0023-0024], [0006]
- a network driver being operably connected to the network transceiver; [0056]
- a network table being operably connected to the network driver;
 and, a field application being operably connected to the network
 driver. [0024], [0056]

As per claim 16, Jammes discloses Modbus communication protocol for an automation system executing an automation application, the automation system comprising a fieldbus coupler being operably connected between a Modbus network having a Modbus device and a network having a network device including a network table, the Modbus communication protocol comprising: a Modbus message frame comprising:

- a header having an address identifier; [0039]
- a trailer having an error verifier; and, [0036]

Jammes discloses all the limitations as above except a Modbus function code encapsulated between the header and the trailer, wherein the automation application transmits a network message embedded within the Modbus function code to the network device table. However, Swales

Application/Control Number: 09/888,158

Art Unit: 2112

discloses a communications adapter for interfacing between MODBUS over Ethernet to TCP for the communication of information between field device and a field master using these types of protocols. Field masters include programmable logic controllers application specific controllers with automation software to run thereon. (col.1,lines 65-col.2, line 13)

Furthermore, Swales discloses MODBUS is control protocol that is implemented where each transaction is. The request and response message is encapsulated which have been encoded on any given network.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Swales's teaching into Jammes's system so as to have the advantages of connecting a simple devices into a complexed system. (col.3,lines 20-35)

As per claim 36, Jammes discloses wherein the first protocol is a Modbus protocol.[0005]

As per claim 38, Jammes discloses the system further comprising a plurality of additional network nodes utilizing the second protocol in the network. [0007]

3. Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Dube's et al. (US Patent 6,434,157) in view of Swales et al. (US Patent 6,466,995)

As per claims 24, Dube discloses method of transmitting a network message in an automation system comprising a network and a Modbus network, the method comprising the steps of:

- providing a network message embedded within a Modbus function code;
 (col.2, lines 7-15)
- transmitting the Modbus function code to a network node; (col.2, lines 7 15)
- extracting the network message; and, (col.1, lines 58-65)
- executing the network message wherein the network node being capable
 of interacting with the Modbus network. (col.2, lines 1-15)

Dubes discloses all the limitations as above except Modbus function code to a to fieldbus coupler between the first network and the second Modbus network. However, Swales discloses a communications adapter for interfacing between MODBUS over Ethernet to TCP for the communication of information between field device and a field master using these types of protocols. Field masters include programmable logic controllers application specific controllers with automation software to run thereon. (col.1,lines 65-col.2, line 13) Furthermore, Swales discloses MODBUS is control protocol that is implemented where each transaction is. The request and response message is encapsulated which have been encoded on any given network.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Swales's teaching into Dube's system so as to have the advantages of connecting a simple devices into a complexed system. (col.3,lines 20-35)

As per claim 25, Dube discloses accessing a portion of the table. (col.2,lines 17-25)

As per claim 26, Dube discloses embedding a network response message within a response Modbus function code; and, transmitting the response Modbus function code to the Modbus network. (col.2, lines 1-30)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 8-9, 22-23, 27-28, 33-34, 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jammes (Pub No US20020194365) in view of Swales et al. (US Patent 6,466,995) and further in view of Stutz (Pub No US20020128986)

Jammes discloses all the limitations as above except the network is CANopen.

However, Stutz discloses network controller handles CANopen related protocol. [0047]

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Stuz's teaching into Jammes's system so as to have

Application/Control Number: 09/888,158

Art Unit: 2112

the advantages to have communication for a franking machine which would overcome the disadvantages of previous franking machines. [0016]

Response to Amendment

6. Applicant's amendment filed on 10/04/04 have been fully considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Huynh whose telephone number is (571)272-3635 or via e-mail addressed to [kim.huynh3@uspto.gov]. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9.00AM- 6:00PM. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-2100.

Kim Huynh

Dec. 26, 2004

Sumati Aflowate

Page 11